IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 3026 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

PETROLEUM EMPLOYEES UNION

Versus

UNION OF INDIA

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 3026 of 1997

MR NR SHAHANI for Petitioner No. 1-2

MR AJ DESAI, AGP for Respondent-State

MR PJ MEHTA for Respondent No.1-3

MR BR GUPTA for Respondent No. 5

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.K.RATHOD

Date of decision: 12/01/2005

ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. Heard Mr.N.R.Shahani, learned counsel for petitioners, Mr.P.J.Mehta, learned counsel appearing for respondents No.1, 2 and 3, Mr.A.J.Desai, learned Assistant Government Pleader, appearing for respondent No.4, Mr.B.R.Gupta, learned counsel for respondent No.5.

#. When the matter is taken up for hearing, Mr.Shahani, learned counsel for the petitioners, submits that the petitioners have made representation/ application/ complaint to the respective respondent-authorities but there is no response received by petitioners from either of the authorities. Therefore, such representation/ application/ complaint remains pending before the authorities without any decision. Mr.Shahani therefore submits that some suitable directions may be issued so that the concerned authorities may examine such representation/ application/ complaint wherein grievances have been raised by the petitioners, and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within reasonable time. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents have no objection to this submission.

#. In view of these facts, the respondents No.1 to 4 are directed to decide the representation/ application/ complaint filed by petitioners which have remained without any decision by these authorities. The respondent-authorities shall examine the grievances raised by petitioners and decide the same in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of Writ of this order. It is further directed that respondent-authorities shall communicate the decision taken by them to Mr.N.R.Shahani, learned counsel for the petitioners, by registered post A.D. at his following residential address:

Mr.Narendra R. Shahani, Advocate
5, Deeplax Appts. Nehru Park,
Lad Society Street, Vastrapur,
Ahmedabad-15.

#. The matter is old and the grievance is pertaining to the legal rights of the petitioners. It is therefore expected that the authorities shall take decision as early as possible in accordance with law. It is also made clear that the present petition is disposed of without considering the merits of the matter. The petition is accordingly disposed of. Interim relief, if any, granted earlier and continued till date, is vacated. Rule discharged. No order as to costs.

(H.K.RATHOD, J.)

(sunil)